

CTI

May 30, 89

- Note: Signal of SU attack from
Pensance? Penkowsky
~~Penkowsky~~ (?) During CTI - at same

time as Real phase of SU attacks:

But at time when SU had almost
nothing. [So (?) Pen. hardly decide
not to pass on warning

(like Pearl Harbor!)

- What if US had responded to signal
by going on full intell alert? (DefCon I)
warning

That would have given SU the
warning of US with planning immediate
FS, or effective immediate FS (about the
same).

Again - if SU had had a prompter
cap. What would risk be if...

[Run CTI again, with

SCENARIO

1) panic

or 2) Prompter cap. ?!

[as Nife behind, in 1961, Berlin - which
he saw as scarier than CTI: "despite" "large numbers"

(couldn't have some SU move —
which tried to achieve SU FS parity;

or — higher SU ministers discovered
when the head 200 on Cuba
(could JFK clearly have refrained
from blockade?)

My exam. of massacre is relevant
to leader cap. of rising attack...

As with Penkovsky:

A country in crisis is likely
to round up its suspected spies
— as Castro did before CI —
who wrote the and such a
warning!

and see KGB hinting in (747)
that the man about to be attacked!

(What if one had been a double
agent? and he exaggerated SU response?
leading to SU council of ambition